

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/650,581 08/28/2003		Georgios Stamatas	J&J-5070	8212			
27777	7590	09/21/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER			
PHILIP S. J			GЕМВЕН, S	GEMBEH, SHÍRLEY V			
JOHNSON & ONE JOHNS		N INSON PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUN	SWICK, N	IJ 08933-7003	1614	1614			
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/2000	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/650,581		STAMATAS ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Shirley V. G		1614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	ication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on	_•						
		2b)⊠ This a		n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowand	ce except fo	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			•				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction	on and/or el	lection requ	ıirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the d	Irawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
α) _t	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	⁷ 1O-948)	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date			6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-4, 9,11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21 and 23 are drawn to a method of approximating the relative contribution of melanin responsible for the perceived pigmentation of an area of skin comprising determining the absorbance of light at a wavelength and subtracting the approximate relative contribution of deoxy-hemoglobin from said absorbance, classified in class 600, subclass 476.
- II. Claims 5-8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22 and 24 are drawn to a method of approximating the relative contribution of deoxy-hemeoglobin responsible for the perceived pigmentation of an area of skin comprising determining the absorbance of light at a wavelength and subtracting the approximate relative contribution of oxy-hemoglobin from said absorbance, classified in class 600, subclass 476.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons: Inventions I and II are directed to related process. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different

design, mode of operation, function, or effect (see US 5524617) wherein the an arterial oxygen saturation level is calculated in response to the detected radiation and based on an algorithm that filters out pulsatile signal contributions from one layer of tissue (e.g. surface tissue or a lower tissue layer) to yield an arterial oxygen saturation value indicative of that of the required layer.

The inventions above are patentably distinct. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Burden consists not only of specific searching of classes and subclasses, but also of searching multiple databases for foreign references and literature searches. Burden also resides in the examination of independent claim sets for clarity, enablement, and double patenting issues. Further, a reference that would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application and the restriction for examination purposes as indicated above is deemed proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shirley V. Gembeh whose telephone number is 571-272-8504. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -5:00, Monday- Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,581

Art Unit: 1614

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SVG 9/8/06 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER